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## Syllabus Content:

Inquiry question: How is the reactivity of various metals predicted?
Students:

- apply the definitions of oxidation and reduction in terms of electron transfer and oxidation numbers to a range of reduction and oxidation (redox) reactions
- conduct investigations to measure and compare the reduction potential of galvanic half-cells
- construct relevant half-equations and balanced overall equations to represent a range of redox reactions
- predict the reaction of metals in solutions using the table of standard reduction potentials
- predict the spontaneity of redox reactions using the value of cell potentials


## Outcomes to be assessed:

- CH11-1: develops and evaluates questions and hypotheses for scientific investigation
- CH11-2: designs and evaluates investigations in order to obtain primary and secondary data and information
- CH11-3: conducts investigations to collect valid and reliable primary and secondary data and information
- CH11-5: analyses and evaluates primary and secondary data and information


## Task Description:

- Students will complete a practical investigation to create a galvanic cell and use this to measure the electrode potential and consequently rank their reduction strength.
- Students will then undertake an analyse of their data and compare calculated values to known values.

Task is shown on the next page.

## Investigation: Measurement of electrode potentials and ranking the reduction strengths of their reduced forms

## Background:

In this investigation, the copper electrode will be used as a reference electrode to measure the electrode potential of each of several other electrodes. This will allow us to list the electrode half-reactions in order of decreasing tendency to occur, because the higher the electrode potential, the greater the tendency for that half reaction to occur or the greater the oxidising strength of the oxidised form of the half reaction. Then we will be able to rank the reduced forms of the half reactions in order of increasing reduction strength.

Table 12.2 shows the standard electrode potentials at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In this table the half reactions are all reduction half equations written as:

$$
\text { Oxidised form }+n e^{-} \rightarrow \text { reduced form }
$$

In a reduction half reaction the species on the left-hand side is the oxidant: it takes electrons from a species in another half reaction.

When comparing two reduction half reactions, the one with the larger $E^{\ominus}$ goes as written and the other one goes in reverse. As a consequence of this statement:

- The greater the value of $\mathrm{E}^{\ominus}$, the greater the oxidizing strength of the oxidized form (lefthand side) of the half reaction
- The smaller the value of $\mathrm{E}^{\ominus}$, the greater the reducing strength of the reduced form (righthand side) of the reduction half reaction.


## Aim:

To measure and compare the reduction potentials of several electrodes using the $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Cu} 2+$ electrode as a reference electrode and to determine the relative strengths of the reductants involved.

## Hypothesis:

Propose a suitable hypothesis.

## Materials:

- 50 ml of 1.0 mol L-1 solutions of:
- $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}$
- $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$
- Acidified $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$
- $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$
- $\mathrm{KNO}_{3}$
- KI
- Strips of $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Mg}$ and ZN metals
- 1 ungalvanised nail
- 1 graphite electrode
- 0.2 g iodine solid
- $5 \times 150 \mathrm{ml}$ beakers
- Waste bottles x 2
- $7 \mathrm{~cm} \times 7 \mathrm{~cm}$ piece of sandpaper
- Voltmeter
- Electrical wires
- Filter paper
- Petri dish
- Labels
- Blu tack
- Forceps
- Stirring rod
- Power pack


## Method:

1. Pour 50 ml of $\mathrm{CuSO}_{4}, \mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, Acidified $\mathrm{FeSO}_{4}$ and $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$ into separate labelled beakers.
2. Clean the metals with sandpaper.
3. Stand the pieces of metal in separate beakers with their corresponding solutions so that they are partly submerged. It may be useful to use Blu Tack to hold the metals in place
4. Pour 50 ml of iodide solution into another beaker, add 0.2 g solid iodine to the solution, stir until dissolved then stand the graphite electrode in it.
5. Soak a piece of filter paper in the petri dish with KNO3.
6. Place the piece of filter paper so that one end is in the copper solution and the other end is in the solution of the electrode being tested.
7. Connect the metal strip (graphite electrode in the iodide, iodine half-cell) and copper strip to the voltmeter with electrical wires.
8. Record the voltage of the electrode being tested relative to the copper electrode, including its sign.
9. Connect each of the half cells (electrodes) in turn to the copper electrode, using a fresh piece of soaked filter paper for each cell, and measure the voltage.
10. Make up three cells that do not include a copper electrode and measure their voltages.

## Results:

Record the voltage for each galvanic cell in a suitable table.

## Analysis of Results:

1. Calculate the electrode potential of each of the electrodes you measured from:

$$
\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {test }}-\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{Cu}}=\mathrm{E}_{\text {test }}-0.34
$$

where $E$ is the measured voltage of the electrode relative to the copper electrode and $\mathrm{E}_{\text {test }}$ is its electode potential. Enter the values in your table. (Working space included below)
2. Write the reduction half reactions associated with each of the electrodes listed.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. List the reactions in order of decreasing tendency to occur (that is, list the one with the greatest tendency to occur first and the one with the least last).
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
4. List the reductants in these half reactions in order of decreasing strength as reductants.
5. Use the method you used to calculate electrode potentials from analysis step 1 to calculate the voltages you would expect for the cells you made in method step 10.
6. Compare these calculated values with the measured values and comment on any discrepancies.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

## Conclusion:

1. Compare your electrode potentials with the values in Table 12.2.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
2. Compare the voltages measured in method step 10 and those calculated in analysis step 5 with values calculated for these cells from Table 12.2.
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
3. Suggest reasons for any discrepancies between your results and the data in Table 12.2.

TABLE 12.2 Standard electrode potentials at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$


| Outcomes | Outstanding | High | Sound | Developing | Limited |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CH11-1: develops and evaluates questions and hypotheses for scientific investigation |  |  | Provides hypothesis for experiment that predicts ranking of metals by potential (3 marks) | Provides hypothesis that ranks most of the metals by potential (2 marks) | Hypothesis provided but metals not ranked (1 mark) |
| CH11-2: designs and evaluates investigations in order to obtain primary and secondary data and information |  |  | Can compare results with known data to suggest valid reasons for result discrepancies and suggests appropriate adjustmentsto experimental procedure <br> (5-6 marks) | Compares some results with known data to suggest some reasons for result discrepancies and suggest some adjustmentsto experimental procedure (3-4 marks) | States known data and results and/or suggests reasons for result discrepancy and/or suggest some experimental adjustments (1-2 marks) |
| CH11-3: conducts investigationsto collect valid and reliable primary and secondary data and information | Safely and efficiently conducts practical. Follows instructions independently (5 marks) | Safely completes practical. Clarifications needed on some instructions (4 marks) | Safety reminders needed. <br> Clarifications on a range of instructions (3 marks) <br> Accurate data collected and recorded appropriately (4 marks) | Safety reminders needed. <br> Clarifications on a range of instructions (2 marks) <br> Data collected (with errors) or data not adequately organised (2-3 marks) | Student completes task under direct supervision (1 mark) <br> Student requires support to read and collect data (1 mark) |
| CH11-5: analyses and evaluates primary and secondary data and information | Completes accurate analysis of results with correct calculations, half-equations and ranking (12 marks) | Completes analysis of results with mostly correct calculations, half-equations and ranking (9-11 marks) | Completes accurate analysis of results with correct calculations, halfequations and ranking (6-8 marks) | Completes accurate analysis of results with correct calculations, half-equations and ranking (3-5 marks) | Completes accurate analysis of results with correct calculations, half-equations and ranking (1-2 marks) |


| WORD | MEANING |
| :---: | :---: |
| Account | Account for: state reasons for, reporton. Give an account of: narrate a series of events or transactions |
| Analyse | Identify components and the relationship between them; draw out and relate implications |
| Apply | Use, utilise, employ in a particular situation |
| Appreciate | Make a judgement about the value of |
| Assess | Make a judgement of value, quality, outcomes, results or size |
| Calculate | Ascertain/determine from given facts, figures or information |
| Clarify | Make clear or plain |
| Classify | Arrange or include in classes/categories |
| Compare | Show how things are similar or different |
| Construct | Make; build; put together items or arguments |
| Contrast | Show how things are different or opposite |
| Critically (analyse/evaluate) | Add a degree or level of accuracy depth, knowledge and understanding, logic, questioning, reflection and quality to (analyse/evaluate) |
| Deduce | Draw conclusions |
| Define | State meaning and identify essential qualities |
| Demonstrate | Show by example |
| Describe | Provide characteristics and features |
| Design | Do or plan (something) with a specific purpose or intention in mind |
| Discuss | Identify issues and provide points for and/or against |
| Distinguish | Recognise or note/indicate as being distinct or different from; to note differences between |
| Evaluate | Make a judgement based on criteria; determine the value of |
| Examine | Inquire into |
| Explain | Relate cause and effect; make the relationships between things evident; provide why and/or how |
| Extract | Choose relevant and/or appropriate details |
| Extrapolate | Infer from what is known |
| Give an example | Do exactly that and no more, but make sure it is specific to the rest of the question |
| How | In what way or manner; by what means |
| Identify | Recognise and name |
| Interpret | Draw meaning from |
| Investigate | Plan, inquire into and draw conclusions about |
| List | Make a set of items considered as being in the same category or having a particular order of priority |
| Justify | Support an argument or conclusion |
| Outline | Sketch in general terms; indicate the main features of |
| Predict | Suggest what may happen based on available information |
| Propose | Put forward (for example a point of view, idea, argument, suggestion) for consideration or action |
| Recall | Present remembered ideas, facts or experiences |
| Recommend | Provide reasons in favour |
| Recount | Retell a series of events |
| Summarise | Express, concisely, the relevant details |
| Synthesise | Putting together various elements to make a whole |
| To what extent | How much, to what degree or how many |
| Translate | Move from one place or condition to another |
| What | Asking for information specifying something (mark allocation will determine the extent of information required) |
| Why | For what reason or purpose |

